Conceptual Articles Review Form

Below please rate the article using the 5 following criteria:

1. **Concepts/ theories chosen are relevant to both social work and Christian faith.** Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:

   * 

   - No/minor revisions required: Concepts/theories fit well with social work profession and Christian faith perspective
   - Revisions required: Concepts/theories fit well with social work profession and Christian faith perspective. However, some aspects of the connection between the theory/concept and Christian faith-social work integration are in need of further clarification
   - Borderline/substantive revisions required: Concepts/theories show promise for relevance to Christian faith-social work integration, but linkage is currently unclear, tangential, non-specific, and/or underdeveloped.
   - Does not meet requirements: Concepts/theories have tenuous or non-existent relationship to faith-social work integration.

   Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.

2. **Concepts/ theories supported by scholarly literature.** Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:

   * 

   - No/minor revisions required: Concepts/theories are drawn from credible scholarly sources literature
   - Revisions required: Concepts/theories primarily drawn from credible, scholarly
sources, but some minor gaps exist in regard to support
☐ Borderline/substantive revisions required: Concepts/theories somewhat supported by scholarly sources, but significant gaps exist in regard to support
☐ Does not meet requirements: Concepts/theories lack support of scholarly sources

Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.

3. Depth of Discussion. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:

* ☐ No/minor revisions required: Discussion integrates social work and Christianity and offers new insights into social work practice and/or scholarship from a Christian perspective.
☐ Revisions required: Discussion integrates social work and Christianity and offers insights into social work practice and/or scholarship from a Christian perspective with some room for improvement
☐ Borderline/substantive revisions required: Discussion shows evidence of integrating social work and Christianity but significant gaps exist
☐ Does not meet requirements: Discussion shows limited evidence of integrating social work and Christianity

Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.

4. Cohesiveness. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:
* 

☐ No/minor revisions required: Article is clear, focused, and organized.
☐ Revisions required: Article is clear, focused, and organized with some room for improvement.
☐ Borderline/substantive revisions required: Article lacks clarity and organization
☐ Does not meet requirements: Article is not clear or organized.

*Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.*

5. Writing Mechanics (spelling + grammar, citations). Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:

* 

☐ No/minor revisions required: Few, if any errors, in writing mechanics and APA format
☐ Revisions required: Few errors in writing mechanics and APA format
☐ Borderline/substantive revisions required: Some errors in writing mechanics and APA format
☐ Does not meet requirements: Numerous errors in writing mechanics and APA format.

*Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.*