Conceptual Articles Review Form

Below please rate the article using the 5 following criteria:

faith. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:
*
No/minor revisions required: Concepts/theories fit well with social work profession and Christian faith perspective
Revisions required: Concepts/theories fit well with social work profession and Christian faith perspective. However, some aspects of the connection between the theory/concept and Christian faith-social work integration are in need of further clarification
Borderline/substantive revisions required: Concepts/theories show promise for relevance to Christian faith-social work integration, but linkage is currently unclear, tangential, non-specific, and/or underdeveloped.
Does not meet requirements: Concepts/theories have tenuous or non-existent relationship to faith-social work integration.
Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.
2. Concepts/ theories supported by scholarly literature. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:
*
No/minor revisions required: Concepts/theories are drawn from credible scholarly sources literature
Revisions required: Concepts/theories primarily drawn from credible, scholarly

sources, but some minor gaps exist in regard to support Borderline/substantive revisions required: Concepts/theories somewhat supported by scholarly sources, but significant gaps exist in regard to support Does not meet requirements: Concepts/theories lack support of scholarly sources
Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.
3. Depth of Discussion. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:
*
No/minor revisions required: Discussion integrates social work and Christianity and offers new insights into social work practice and/or scholarship from a Christian perspective. Revisions required: Discussion integrates social work and Christianity and offers insights into social work practice and/or scholarship from a Christian perspective with some room for improvement
Borderline/substantive revisions required: Discussion shows evidence of integrating social work and Christianity but significant gaps exist
Does not meet requirements: Discussion shows limited evidence of integrating social work and Christianity
Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.

4. Cohesiveness. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:

	No/minor revisions required: Article is clear, focused, and organized.
□ im _l	Revisions required: Article is clear, focused, and organized with some room for provement.
□ org	Borderline/substantive revisions required: Article lacks clarity and ganization
	Does not meet requirements: Article is not clear or organized.
	ase provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this
4	
bel	Nriting Mechanics (spelling + grammar, citations). Please check one box ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of article on this criterion:
bel the * _	ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of article on this criterion:
bel the *	ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of
bel the *	ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of article on this criterion: No/minor revisions required: Few, if any errors, in writing mechanics and APA
bel the * for	ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of article on this criterion: No/minor revisions required: Few, if any errors, in writing mechanics and APA mat
bel the for and	ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of article on this criterion: No/minor revisions required: Few, if any errors, in writing mechanics and APA mat Revisions required: Few errors in writing mechanics and APA format Borderline/substantive revisions required: Some errors in writing mechanics
bell the * for and for Ple	ow, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of article on this criterion: No/minor revisions required: Few, if any errors, in writing mechanics and APA mat Revisions required: Few errors in writing mechanics and APA format Borderline/substantive revisions required: Some errors in writing mechanics d APA format Does not meet requirements: Numerous errors in writing mechanics and APA