Practice Articles Review Form

Below please rate the article using the 5 following criteria:

1. Topic is relevant to Christian social work practitioners. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:		
*		
No/minor revisions required: Topic is relevant to Christian social work practitioners. Topic is focused on relevant area(s) of practice. Revisions required: Topic is somewhat relevant to Christian social work practitioners. Topic is focused on relevant area(s) of practice. Borderline/substantive revisions required: Topic shows promise for relevance to Christian social work practitioners but further development is needed. Topic is focused on relevant area(s) of practice.		
focused on relevant area(s) of practice. Does not meet requirements: Topic shows limited promise for relevant to Christian social work practitioners. Topic is not focused on an area of practice that is relevant to Christian social work practitioners.		
Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.		
2. Article draws on knowledge from best practice and scholarly insights. <i>Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:</i>		
*		
No/minor revisions required: Article draws on knowledge from the practice field through scholarly insights and best practices.		
Revisions required: Article draws on knowledge from the practice field through some scholarly insights or best practices. There is room for further development in		

this area.
Borderline/substantive revisions required: Article shows promise that it will be able to draw on knowledge from scholarly insights or best practice but this is not developed.
Does not meet requirements: Article shows limited promise for drawing from scholarly insights or best practices.
Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.
3. Discussion integrates Christian faith and social work. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:
*
\square No/minor revisions required: Discussion integrates social work and Christianity and offers new ways or insights into practicing social work from a Christian perspective.
Revisions required: Discussion integrates social work and Christianity and offers insights into social work practice and/or scholarship from a Christian perspective with some room for improvement
Borderline/substantive revisions required: Discussion shows promise for integrating social work and Christianity and promise for offering new insights or ways of practicing from a Christian perspective.
Does not meet requirements: Discussion shows limited promise for integrating social work and Christianity and/or offering new insights or ways of practicing from a Christian perspective. Discussion does not synthesize the major

Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.



and APA format

4. Article is clear, cohesive, and organized. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:

*	
No/minor revisions required: Article is clear, focused, and organized. Revisions required: Article is clear, focused, and organized with some room for improvement. Borderline/substantive revisions required: Article show some promise but currently lacks clarity and organization. Does not meet requirements: Article shows limited promise for improvement in the areas of clarity or organization.	
Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on the criterion.	is
4	
5. Spelling, grammar, and APA formatting is accurate. Please check one box below, and add any comments at the end of this section, providing your evaluation of the article on this criterion:	
*	
 □ No/minor revisions required: Few, if any errors, in writing mechanics and APA format □ Revisions required: Few errors in writing mechanics and APA format 	

Borderline/substantive revisions required: Several errors in writing mechanics

	Does not meet requirements: Numerous errors in writing mechanics and APA
forr	mat.

Please provide any additional comments related to your evaluation of the article on this criterion.

